**Feedback report on visit for second round of Mission Indradhanush for Bijaapur, Chattisgarh**

**Name of the National Observer:** Upendra Singh

**Organization:** NIHFW, New Delhi

**Date of Visit:** 5th to 10th May 2015

**Blocks Visited:** 4 (Usoor, Bhopalpattanam, Bijaapur, Bhairamgarh)

**District visited:** Bijaapur (Chattisgarh)

* The MI monitoring visit undertaken from 5th to 10th May 2015, during the said visit all the four blocks and district headquarter were visited by the National Observer.
* The MI activities were closely monitored during the said visit to the respective blocks and session sites.

***Key Observation of the monitoring visit:***

***Improved activities:***

1. There was increased number of session planned then previous round. During 1st round of MI there were total 228 sessions planned and 179 held. During second round of MI total 522 sessions planned with covering some RI session sites also.
2. The district deployed four persons as block monitors during 2nd round of MI.
3. This time two large size banner placed at two identified site in the district. While in 1st round no such banners seen in the district.

***Shortcomings identified:***

1. It was found that IEC activities are very poor, even the vehicle for programme propagation through miking was almost stagnant at headquarter. There were no communication and follow-up plan by the district authority. There was no pre-campaign activity found in the district.
2. The headcounts done partially and no debriefing meetings/evening meetings conducted in a constructive way at the district headquarter and probably at some of the blocks also.
3. The district authority not taken any initiative to launch the MI activity on both of the rounds, even after suggesting the same during my previous visit to the district.
4. The district had shown very poor administration for conducting the MI activities. There was no active involvement of the district authorities’. There was no propagation of the programme with efficient use of IEC tools. There were only 2 large size banners seen in the district/block on Mission Indradhanush programme.
5. The two blocks out of four didn’t have proper directive person (BMO) to lead the activity. It was reported by one BMO of Bijaapur block that he is being harassed by CMO and he relieved from BMO post on 6th May in between the MI 2nd round and placed as MO in one of the block of the district, with affecting the ongoing MI activities and the newly assigned BMO of Bijaapur was totally unaware about the Mission Indradhanush.
6. There were total non cooperative attitude seen at the district by CMO/DIO. The CMO misleaded the DM about my visit and associated liabilities, therefore the DM also not taken national observer as a representative official of MoHFW, knowingly or unknowingly, DM gave a very poor treatment while meet to him. Therefore, they left less scope for the visiting national monitor to advocate the MI and its activities.
7. The district authority (CMHO/DIO) not given any regard while arriving and departing from the district, there were no person to attend under general protocol at the arrival and departure point in the district during both of the MI rounds.
8. None of the block/district headquarter found to have communication planning, guidelines, pertaining to MI. They were totally unaware about it.

However, during 1st round no UNICEF partner was there to attended DTFI (1st round) but during 2nd round of MI one of the UNICEF partner attended DTFI, even thereafter none of the block/DIO known about the communication planning.

1. The district had not found to have any district or block level monitoring plan. However, this time the district assigned four block monitors for all the blocks.
2. The MOs working at block and district were not oriented and sensitized about the MI, therefore their prospective role in MI was very limited.
3. The one pager message of union health minister was not given to ASHAs/ AWWs in any of the block, even after pointing out very clearly during previous visit of MI.